

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usto.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/923,605	08/07/2001	. Ronald Mikkenie	NL 000419	1416		
24737 . 7:	590 08/29/2003			17		
	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS		EXAMI	EXAMINER -		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF	I MANOR, NY 10510	. :	GROUP, I	GROUP, KARL E		
:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
<b>-</b>			1755			
<b>-</b>	•		DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A.S				
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/923,605		MIKKENIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Karl E Group	1755	Idroop				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	August 2003 .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	-						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( of Informal Patent Application (PTo					

Application/Control Number: 09/923,605

Art Unit: 1755

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8-25-03 has been entered.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,2,8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The combination of a  $TiO_2$  and "substantially free from boron is not considered to be supported by the disclosure. Applicants argue that claims 3 and 4 provide support for this combination. This is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection because both claims 3 and 4 limit the further metal oxide to "Li<sub>2</sub>O". The rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,605

Art Unit: 1755

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

Page 3

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4,6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sugimto et al, for reasons of record.

Applicants argue that the terminology "substantially free of boron" is intended as meaning no boron or amounts less than 3% which overcomes the rejection. This is not persuasive because the specification does not provide a quantitative amount for "substantially free". Furthermore, table 2, example G6 has a content of Li<sub>2</sub>O of 1 wt% which according to applicants definition of less than 3wt% the teachings of Sugimoto et al would anticipate the instant claims. Also Sugimoto et al suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art the boron oxide may be removed with a respective increase in the sintering temperature.

4. The rejection over Sugimoto et al '734 is withdrawn in view of the teaching that the minimum amount of boron oxide is 10 wt%

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

ď

Application/Control Number: 09/923,605

Art Unit: 1755

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E Group whose telephone number is 703-308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Karl E Group

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755

Keg 8-28-03